

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/544,108	04/06/2000	Kenneth Eliot Sherman	7634	
7590 04/04/2006 EXAMINER				INER
CAROLINE NASH			BOESEN, AGNIESZKA	
NASH & TITUS, LLC				
21402 UNISON ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIDDLEBURG, VA 20177			1648	
		DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)
09/544,108		SHERMAN, KENNETH ELIOT
Examiner		Art Unit
Agnieszka Boesen		1648

	Agnieszka Boesen	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress				
HE REPLY FILED 04 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		(36/a) and the appropria	ote extension fee				
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	riof in compliance with 27 CER 41 3	7 must be filed within	have months of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 January 2006</u> . A bithe date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements.	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	\$1.37(e)), to avoid dis	smissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-8,10-17 and 19-24</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							

Continuation of Item 8. The after-final amendment filed January 4, 2006, will not be entered because of the declaration filed under 37 CFR 1.132 by Kenneth Sherman. It is noted Examiner Timothy Brown indicated that a declaration can be used to overcome the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. The claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph in the Office action of January 13, 2005 for the same reasons. The declaration presents evidence that would minimally require further consideration. Entry of the instant amendment is denied. The filing of an RCE would permit entry of this amendment.

Agnieszka Boesen March 29, 2006

Stacy B. Chen
Patent Examiner